Pr	ceeding:	In the <b>Matte</b> r	of 1998 Biennial	Regulatory Review	Amendmen	t of Part of the	ecord 1 of 1	
Applic	icant Name: Michael E. Urso							
Proceed	ng Name:	98-143	Author Name		15200700			
Law	rm Name:							
Con	act Name:	ne: applicant_name		Contact Email: kb9kfe@techinter.com				
Addr	ess Line 1:	29W458 Candlewood Lane						
Addı	ess Line 2:	2: DOCKET E						FILE COPY ORIGINAL
	City:	Warrenville		State: IL	4		DOGNE	T FILE COPY OHIGINAL
	Zip Code:	60555 Post	tal Code:	- Committee Company (COM) - COM   Designation COM   CO	**************************************			
Submis	sion Type:	co 1	Submission Sta	atus:ACCEPTED	View	ing Status: UNREST	RICTED	
	Subject:							
ψ.	A Number:			Exparte Late Filed: File Number:				
Calenda	ar Date File	d: 09/09/1998	12:18:28 AM	Date Disseminate	ed:	Filed From: II	NTERNET	
Officia	al Date File	d: 09/09/1998		Date Released/Denie	d: {	Initials:		
b	onfirmation	# 199899311	009	Date File	ed:			

## INTERNET FILMS

98-143

I agree with part A. Reducing the number of Amateur Radio Classes, but I feel that the proposal

submitted by the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) is a better plan. The ITU requires a morse requirement,

but even that is falling away in some countries. I feel the focus should be on technology. Therefore the

CW (continous wave) form of communications is recognized as a valid mode, but not a premium mode. The increase in

the number of amateurs with greater privaledges (i.e. phone/image/amtor etc.) on the HF bands can only

help in increasing the trend of technically good operators with skills in the digital communications field.

The ARRL proposal is a WIN-WIN situation. There is no loss of privaledges. Any ruling that moves backward

is not in the best interest of our country. To say that 20 words per minute code make you a fantastic operator

is wrong. I have listened to 75 meters, all the amateur extras that feel they own the bands. Listen to the

new hams that came up as technicians and then to tech plus. I think you will find excellent operators in that group.

There is no reason to demote this class as docket 98-143 intends.

Make your ruling an invitation to the future operators of our ranks to move ahead. In a time where we find radio as a

hobby losing to the internet in a youth's eyes, we need to not limit our field of interest with an arcane filter. The

ARRL proposal would emphasize the technical knowledge needed for licensing. As the Education Chair for the Fox River

Radio League, a public service club in Illinois, I feel very strongly that we should encourage our new hams rather

than discourage them. The advent of the No-Code Tech boosted our ranks when it was needed. The help the community by

providing emergency radio operators in time of need. If it was flood, storm, earthquake, or hurricane, these new technician

class operators were there to help wherever and in many cases whenever it was needed. The FCC proposal that basically

demotes the TECH PLUS is flawed.

The ARRL proposal should be considered instead.

Michael E. Urso KB9KFE

Education Chairman - Fox River Radio League, Aurora, Illinois

I agree with part A. Reducing the number of Amateur Radio Classes, but I feel that the proposal

submitted by the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) is a better plan. The ITU requires a morse requirement,

but even that is falling away in some countries. I feel the focus should be on technology. Therefore the

CW (continous wave) form of communications is recognized as a valid mode, but not a premium mode. The increase in

the number of amateurs with greater privaledges (i.e. phone/image/amtor etc.) on the HF bands can only

help in increasing the trend of technically good operators with skills in the digital communications field.

The ARRL proposal is a WIN-WIN situation. There is no loss of privaledges. Any ruling that moves backward

is not in the best interest of our country. To say that 20 words per minute code make you a fantastic operator

is wrong. I have listened to 75 meters, all the amateur extras that feel they own the bands. Listen to the

new hams that came up as technicians and then to tech plus. I think you will find excellent operators in that group.

There is no reason to demote this class as docket 98-143 intends.

Make your ruling an invitation to the future operators of our ranks to move ahead. In a time where we find radio as a

hobby losing to the internet in a youth's eyes, we need to not limit our field of interest with an arcane filter. The

ARRL proposal would emphasize the technical knowledge needed for licensing. As the Education Chair for the Fox River

Radio League, a public service club in Illinois, I feel very strongly that we should encourage our new hams rather

than discourage them. The advent of the No-Code Tech boosted our ranks when it was needed. The help the community by

providing emergency radio operators in time of need. If it was flood, storm, earthquake, or hurricane, these new technician

class operators were there to help wherever and in many cases whenever it was needed. The FCC proposal that basically

demotes the TECH PLUS is flawed.

The ARRL proposal should be considered instead.

Michael E. Urso KB9KFE

Education Chairman - Fox River Radio League, Aurora, Illinois